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In the adult mammalian hippocampus, new neurons arise from stem and progenitor cell division, in a process known as adult neurogenesis. Adult-generated neurons are sensitive to experience and may participate in hippocampal functions, including learning and memory, anxiety and stress regulation, and social behavior. Increasing evidence emphasizes the importance of new neuron connectivity within hippocampal circuitry for understanding the impact of adult neurogenesis on brain function. In this Review, we discuss how the functional consequences of new neurons arise from the collective interactions of presynaptic and postsynaptic neurons, glial cells, and the extracellular matrix, which together form the “tetrapartite synapse.”

It is now generally accepted that stem cells reside in the adult mammalian brain, and in certain regions, these cells divide in situ and give rise to new neurons, a phenomenon referred to as adult neurogenesis. In the hippocampus, adult neurogenesis produces one type of neuron—the dentate gyrus granule cell. The process begins with the largely asymmetric division of radial glial stem cells residing in the subgranular zone (sgz), a region just between the granule cell layer (gcl) and the hilus (Seri et al., 2001). These cells have the capacity to self-renew and to generate either neurons or astrocytes (Bonaguidi et al., 2011; Suh et al., 2007). The daughter cells of radial glial stem cells are highly proliferative amplifying stem cells, which in turn generate neuroblasts (Gonçalves et al., 2016a; Suh et al., 2007) (Figure 1A). The cell bodies of these new neurons then migrate the short distance from the sgz into the gcl where they begin their differentiation into granule neurons (Gonçalves et al., 2016a) (Figure 1A). These new granule neurons then integrate into the circuitry of the hippocampus, where they subsequently impact behavioral function.

In order to understand the functional consequences of adult neurogenesis, it is necessary to identify the circumstances that modulate the connectivity of newly generated neurons. The majority of research on this topic has focused exclusively on connectivity between neurons, without consideration of the influence of nonneuronal cells or the extracellular milieu in which new connections are formed. A growing literature suggests that understanding synaptic function and plasticity requires consideration of both glial cells as well as the extracellular matrix (ECM), which together with the presynaptic and postsynaptic neurons form the “tetrapartite synapse” (Dityatev and Rusanov, 2011; Smith et al., 2015a). In this review, we consider the formation of new neurons from stem cells in the adult hippocampus, with specific relevance to the integration of new neurons into the circuitry and their impact on brain function. To review this material in a comprehensive and functionally meaningful way, we consider the production and integration of new neurons in the context of the tetrapartite synapse with specific reference to the influence of glia and the ECM on adult neurogenesis and hippocampal function.

Evidence of Adult Neurogenesis and the Integration of New Neurons into the Hippocampal Circuitry

Although adult neurogenesis is robust in the hippocampus of rodents, the extent to which it exists in humans has been a matter of continued debate. Evidence that adult neurogenesis exists for a large number of species, ranging from rodents (Jessberger and Gage, 2014; Snyder et al., 2009a) to monkeys (Gould et al., 1998, 1999), strongly suggests that this phenomenon is common to most mammalian species. In the rodent brain, the number of new neurons is substantial, with as many as 9,000 new granule cells being added to the young adult rat dentate gyrus daily (Cameron and McKay, 2001). Relatively high numbers of new neurons have also been reported in the adult human hippocampus (Boldrini et al., 2018; Eriksson et al., 1998; Knoth et al., 2010; Spalding et al., 2013; Moreno-Jimenez et al., 2019). A few studies contradict these findings (Cipriani et al., 2018; Sorrells et al., 2018), but the majority of reports provide positive evidence for adult neurogenesis in the hippocampus of humans, suggesting that studying this process in rodents is likely relevant to understanding human brain plasticity. For this review, evidence from rodent studies will be considered except in cases otherwise stated.

Once stem cell division produces immature neurons, these cells undergo an extensive maturation process that includes the growth of axons and dendrites and the formation of synaptic connections. Within 2 weeks of their generation, new neurons begin to take on the morphological characteristics of mature granule cells with a single dendritic tree extending toward the molecular layer and the formation of dendritic spines, primary sites of excitatory synapses (Zhao et al., 2006; Figure 1A). Beginning ~1 week after their generation, new granule cells extend axons, known as mossy fibers, through the hilus toward the CA3 and CA2 subregions of the hippocampus (Llorens-Martín et al., 2015; Zhao et al., 2006). Mossy fibers from new granule cells begin to form synapses with excitatory pyramidal cells in the CA3 and CA2 subregions (Gu et al., 2012; Llorens-Martín et al., 2015; Toni et al., 2008) as well as with hilar mossy cells (Toni et al., 2008) by ~2–3 weeks post-mitosis (Figure 1B).

Much research has focused on understanding how and when new granule cells integrate into the circuitry of the hippocampus. Mature granule cells are known to receive synaptic input from
local GABAergic inhibitory interneurons, local and cortical glutamatergic inputs, and basal forebrain/midbrain/hindbrain neuromodulatory (cholinergic, dopaminergic, noradrenergic) nuclei (Deshpande et al., 2013; Vivar et al., 2012). The formation of synapses onto new neurons is known to develop within a week or two of their generation, with the first inputs coming from local GABAergic inhibitory interneurons (Espósito et al., 2005; Ge et al., 2006; Markwardt et al., 2009) followed by glutamatergic input from mossy cells in the hilus (Chancey et al., 2014) and then from the entorhinal cortex via the perforant path a few weeks later (Espósito et al., 2005; Ge et al., 2006). Neuromodulatory input to adult-generated neurons occurs later.
(Deshpande et al., 2013; Vivar et al., 2012), as does the formation of mature inhibitory inputs from local inhibitory interneurons (Espósito et al., 2005), all of which strongly resemble those of developmentally generated granule cells within 4–6 weeks post-mitosis (Laplagne et al., 2006). On the output side, adult-generated granule cells form synapses with several populations of neurons within the hippocampus, including mossy cells in the hilus (Toni et al., 2008), pyramidal cells of the CA2 and CA3 regions (Llorens-Martín et al., 2015; Toni et al., 2008), as well as inhibitory interneurons in the dentate gyrus itself and the CA3 region (Drew et al., 2016; Restivo et al., 2015; Figure 1B).

Function of Adult-Generated Neurons

One of the defining characteristics of a neuron is its ability to generate action potentials. Electrophysiological studies of new cells produced in the adult dentate gyrus have shown that this capability emerges relatively quickly (within a week) post-mitosis. For some time thereafter, new granule cells exhibit excitatory, instead of inhibitory, responses to the typically inhibitory neurotransmitter GABA (Chancey et al., 2013), suggesting that these cells are more likely to fire than mature granule cells, which are strongly inhibited (Amaral et al., 2007; Pelkey et al., 2017). Adult-generated granule neurons also display other unusual electrophysiological properties that disappear as they reach full maturity, including enhanced synaptic plasticity with a lower threshold for LTP induction (Ge et al., 2007; Schmidt-Hieber et al., 2004). The heightened plasticity of adult-generated neurons makes them uniquely positioned to play a role in hippocampal-related functions, of which there are several candidates.

Although the hippocampus was originally recognized for its role in certain types of learning and memory (reviewed in Eichenbaum, 2017), it has also been found to play important roles in anxiety and stress regulation (reviewed in Fanselow and Dong, 2010; Kheirbek et al., 2013), as well as in certain aspects of social behavior (reviewed in Opendak and Gould, 2015). Studies have shown that different parts of the hippocampus serve these different functions, with the dorsal hippocampus supporting spatial navigation learning and memory, and the ventral hippocampus as important for anxiety and stress regulation (reviewed in Fanselow and Dong, 2010; Kheirbek et al., 2013). Because new neurons are generated throughout the dorsoventral extent of the dentate gyrus, the possibility that they might participate in more than one hippocampal function seems high. Indeed, adult-born neurons have been causally linked to many of the known functions and behaviors associated with the hippocampus. Much of this evidence comes from studies that knockdown or eliminate new neurons in the adult brain using transgenic, pharmacological, or focal x-irradiation approaches. Reports have shown that reduction of new neurons impairs cognitive performance on hippocampal-dependent tests, including object memory, contextual fear conditioning, as well as spatial learning and memory in the Morris water maze, Barnes maze, and radial arm maze (reviewed in Cameron and Glover, 2015; Gonçalves et al., 2016a; Figure 1C). On the other hand, contradictory studies have found either no effect of reduced neurogenesis on hippocampal cognitive tasks (Groves et al., 2013; Saxe et al., 2006) or only on certain types of hippocampal cognitive tests (Shors et al., 2002). One study even found that the absence of new neurons improves cognitive function (Saxe et al., 2007). New neurons, presumably through their unique electrophysiological properties and sparse connections to CA3 neurons (Amaral et al., 2007), have also been found to participate in pattern separation (Clelland et al., 2009; Danielson et al., 2016; Sahay et al., 2011), the process of making similar neural inputs distinct. Elimination or optogenetic silencing of adult-born neurons impairs pattern separation-like behaviors (Clelland et al., 2009; Danielson et al., 2016), while transgenic increases in adult neurogenesis enhance the ability of mice to distinguish between highly similar contexts (Sahay et al., 2011). One study, however, reported that pattern separation-like behaviors did not differ between transgenic rats with reduced neurogenesis and controls with typical new neuron numbers (Groves et al., 2013). While reports from direct manipulations of adult neurogenesis often lack consistent results, the majority of studies suggest that new neurons are involved in some aspect of hippocampal-related cognition.

Reduced numbers of new neurons have also been associated with excessive anxiety (Revest et al., 2009), but most studies suggest that the role of new neurons in anxiety regulation only comes into play under conditions of stress (Figure 1C). Adult neurogenesis in the hippocampus seems to provide resilience to stress-induced anxiety (Anacker et al., 2018; Hill et al., 2015; Snyder et al., 2011). Studies have also shown impairments in social behavior tests in rodents lacking new neurons. Adult neurogenesis in the hippocampus has been shown to be necessary for stress-induced social avoidance (Lagace et al., 2010), as well as in social recognition memory (Garrett et al., 2015; Figure 1C). That is, mice with fewer new neurons seem unable to discriminate between novel and previously encountered mice (Garrett et al., 2015). Taken together, this evidence suggests that increased numbers of new neurons are associated with improved hippocampal function, while decreased numbers of new neurons are associated with deficient hippocampal function.

Paradoxical Effects of Adult Neurogenesis on Hippocampal Function

Some results from brain injury models suggest that a simple interpretation that more new neurons improve hippocampal function is not always the case. Brain injury models, such as stroke, seizure, and blunt trauma, all increase the rate of adult neurogenesis (Table 1), yet each of these conditions is associated with reduced cognitive function (Cuartero et al., 2019; Parent and Lowenstein, 2002; Sun et al., 2015). While damage-induced neurogenesis could be an adaptive mechanism to replace dead cells, the stroke and seizure literature does not support this hypothesis. In fact, inhibiting adult neurogenesis reduces the frequency of chronic seizures along with seizure-related cognitive decline (Cho et al., 2015) as well as stroke-induced cognitive decline (Cuartero et al., 2019). Because integration of new granule neurons into the dentate gyrus unavoidably modifies the existing synaptic circuitry, one possible interpretation of these paradoxical findings is that damage-induced adult neurogenesis leads to abnormal synaptic connectivity. In fact, seizures induce faster maturation of new granule neurons including early and more extensive mossy fiber sprouting and dendritic outgrowth as well as aberrant basal dendritic trees extending into the hilus, which receive recurrent excitatory drive from mossy fibers (Overstreet-Wadiche et al.,...
Moreover, accelerated and atypical excitatory and inhibitory synapse formation alters the excitability of the DG (Jakubs et al., 2006; Overstreet-Wadiche et al., 2006), which may be further exaggerated by the loss of inhibitory interneurons that often co-occurs with seizures (Kuruba et al., 2011). Some new granule neurons born after seizures abnormally migrate into the hilus/CA3 region where they atypically synchronize their firing activity with CA3 pyramidal cells (Scharfman et al., 2000).

Additional work examining an animal model of depression also produced results that raise questions about whether increased numbers of new neurons necessarily promote healthy hippocampal function. Treatment with the antidepressant fluoxetine resulted in an overproduction of new neurons in the adult hippocampus (Alves et al., 2017; Table 1). This effect, which at first glance appeared to be beneficial, was surprisingly associated with cognitive decline, as well as increased susceptibility to stress-induced depression and excessive anxiety (Alves et al., 2011). Some new granule neurons born after seizures abnormally migrate into the hilus/CA3 region where they atypically synchronize their firing activity with CA3 pyramidal cells (Scharfman et al., 2000).

Rewarding Experiences Alter Adult Neurogenesis

Adulthood is highly sensitive to environmental stimuli, suggesting that new neurons may serve as an important substrate for experience-dependent change. Several experiences that are rewarding for rodents, such as voluntary physical exercise, environmental enrichment, and sexual experience, robustly enhance the number of new neurons (reviewed in Opendak and Gould, 2015). In some of these cases (e.g., physical exercise), evidence suggests that the number of new neurons is increased by actions at multiple stages in the adult neurogenesis process, including by stimulating the proliferation of radial stem cells and amplifying progenitor cells, leading to an increase in the production of neuroblasts (Bednarczyk et al., 2011; Kronenberg et al., 2006; Lugert et al., 2010; Nokia et al., 2016; Table 1). After these new cells are produced and start down the path toward neuronal differentiation, physical exercise enhances their survival, as it

Table 1. Effects of Experience and Pathological Conditions on Production, Survival, and Connectivity of New Neurons

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experience</th>
<th>Radial Glial Stem Cells (Type 1)</th>
<th>Amplifying Progenitor Cells (Type 2)</th>
<th>Neuroblasts (Type 3)</th>
<th>Cell Survival</th>
<th>Dendritic Maturation</th>
<th>Axons</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Exercise</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>Kronenberg et al., 2006; Snyder et al., 2009b; Lugert et al., 2010; Bednarczyk et al., 2011; Ambrogini et al., 2013; Zhao et al., 2015; Llorens-Martin et al., 2015; Nokia et al., 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enrichment</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Kempermann et al., 1997; Nilsson et al., 1999; Bednarczyk et al., 2011; Ambrogini et al., 2013; Vega-Rivera et al., 2016; Bolos et al., 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stress</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Mirescu et al., 2004; Dagyte et al., 2009; Llorens-Martin et al., 2016; Opendak et al., 2016; De Miguel et al., 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mating</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Leuner et al., 2010; Glasper and Gould, 2013; Kim et al., 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parenting</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Darnaudéry et al., 2007; Leuner et al., 2007; Pawluski and Galea, 2007; Glasper et al., 2011; Hyer et al., 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aging</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Kuhn et al., 1996; Ben Abdallah et al., 2010; Encinas et al., 2011; Trinchero et al., 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antidepressant drugs</td>
<td>↔</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↔</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Maiberg et al., 2000; Encinas et al., 2006; David et al., 2009; Alves et al., 2017; Åmellem et al., 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>Walter et al., 2010; Cuartero et al., 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seizure</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>Hüttmann et al., 2003; Jessberger et al., 2005, 2007; Overstreet-Wadiche et al., 2008; Lugert et al., 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brain injury</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Dash et al., 2001; Villasana et al., 2015; Cope et al., 2016; Gu et al., 2016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Refers to studies with differing effects.
increases the development of their dendrites, and the complexity of both their afferent and efferent connections (Ambrogini et al., 2013; Gonçalves et al., 2016b; Llorens-Martín et al., 2015; Snyder et al., 2009b; Zhao et al., 2015; Table 1). Given the positive influence physical exercise has over virtually all aspects of adult neurogenesis, it is not surprising that this experience also enhances function of the hippocampus. Indeed, numerous studies have shown that physical exercise improves performance on hippocampus-dependent cognitive tasks (reviewed in Vivar et al., 2013) and reduces anxiety-like behaviors (Schoenfeld et al., 2013). Studies have shown similar findings with enriched environment living, including enhanced stem cell production, progenitor cell proliferation, immature neuron production, cell survival, and dendritic differentiation (Ambrogini et al., 2013; Bednarczyk et al., 2011; Kempermann et al., 1997; Nilsson et al., 1999; Vega-Rivera et al., 2016; Table 1), although no studies yet have investigated how enriched environment living alters the formation of new connections by the axons of adult-generated neurons. Also along the lines of research on the effects of physical exercise, enriched environment living is associated with improved hippocampal function (reviewed in Simpson and Kelly, 2011). Studies have also investigated whether improved function after physical exercise or enriched environment living requires the increase in adult neurogenesis and those findings for the most part establish causality with regard to cognitive function with more unsupportive results in studies attempting to causally link new neurons with anxiety reduction (Bruel-Jungerman et al., 2005; Clark et al., 2008; Schoenfeld et al., 2016). These results are generally consistent with what is known about the effects of sexual experience in male rodents where increases in the numbers of adult-generated neurons have been reported (Glasper and Gould, 2013; Kim et al., 2013; Leuner et al., 2010; Table 1). However, this phenomenon has been explored much less thoroughly than physical exercise and enriched environment living, so its effects on stem cells and connectivity (afferent or efferent) remain uninvestigated (Table 1).

Aversive Experiences Alter Adult Neurogenesis
While rewarding experiences have been shown to enhance several aspects of adult neurogenesis, experiences that are aversive seem to have the opposite effect. Many different types of stress (social and physical) diminish the number of new neurons in the hippocampus (Dagyté et al., 2009; De Miguel et al., 2013; Llorens-Martín et al., 2016; Mirescu et al., 2004; Opendak et al., 2016). This effect can even be observed by taking an otherwise rewarding stimulus such as running and making it aversive (by using forced running or running in social isolation) (Inoue et al., 2015; Leasure and Decker, 2009; Stranahan et al., 2006). Although not to the extent of forced running, along these lines, the positive effects of physical exercise on adult neurogenesis are not further enhanced under conditions of rewarded running, which increases running wheel performance compared to voluntary running (Klaus et al., 2009). Stress seems to inhibit adult neurogenesis by having a suppressive action on the proliferation of radial stem cells and amplifying progenitors, leading to a reduction in neuroblasts (Dagyté et al., 2009; Mirescu et al., 2004; Opendak et al., 2016; Table 1). The survival of immature neurons can also be adversely affected by stress, as can their dendritic differentiation and formation of appropriate afferent and efferent connections (De Miguel et al., 2018; Llorens-Martín et al., 2016; Opendak et al., 2016; Table 1). The general suppressive effects of stress on adult neurogenesis may be largely attributed to the catabolic actions of glucocorticoids, and the reduction in new neuron formation has been associated with impaired cognitive function (Bondi et al., 2008; Burgado et al., 2014). However, it should be noted that some studies have demonstrated no effects of stress on either anxiety-like behavior or cognitive function (Opendak et al., 2016), raising the possibility that reductions in new neuron number and connectivity may be compensated for by other mechanisms in some cases.

A link between declining adult neurogenesis and reduced hippocampal function has also been observed with aging where reduced cell proliferation and diminished adult neurogenesis in general are associated with age-related cognitive decline (Klempin and Kempermann, 2007; Table 1). However, it is important to point out that reduced adult neurogenesis occurs considerably before age-related cognitive decline, again suggesting the possibility of compensatory mechanisms, perhaps with regard to the strengthening of synapses that were formed earlier.

Social conditions that have both rewarding and aversive components also exert mixed effects on both adult neurogenesis and behavior. A good example of this is parenting, which is highly hedonic for rodents, has enriching effects on the brain, and is, at the same time, stressful, producing elevations in glucocorticoid levels. In both mothers and fathers, parenting has suppressive effects on adult neurogenesis (Damaudéry et al., 2007; Glasper et al., 2011; Hyer et al., 2016; Leuner et al., 2007; Pawluski and Galea, 2007; Mahmoud et al., 2016). Behavioral studies have yielded mixed results for the effects of parenting on hippocampal-dependent cognition. In some cases, mothering has been shown to improve cognition (Damaudéry et al., 2007; Gatewood et al., 2005; Kinsley et al., 1999) and in others, reduce cognition (Damaudéry et al., 2007); whereas, fathering does not appear to affect performance on certain cognitive tasks (Glasper et al., 2011).

Experiential Regulation of New Neuron Connectivity
One way that experience may alter hippocampal function is by affecting the connectivity patterns of new neurons. Using viral-based methods, running has been found to increase the ratio of inhibitory interneuron versus excitatory mossy cell innervation onto new granule neurons (Sah et al., 2017; Vivar et al., 2016) as well as to enhance the number of new axon fibers projecting to the CA3 and CA2 subregions (Llorens-Martín et al., 2015). Afferent projections to immature neurons are also altered in mice housed in enriched conditions such that they have increased innervation by local inhibitory interneurons and long-distance cortical neurons compared to control mice (Bergami et al., 2015). Experiences that either increase neurogenesis, such as an environmental enrichment, or decrease adult neurogenesis, such as aging, also affect local inhibition levels (Drew et al., 2016). Physical exercise engages local inhibitory circuits that suppress new neurons in the ventral hippocampus and ultimately reduce stress-induced anxiety (Schoenfeld et al., 2013). In addition to its suppressive effects on adult neurogenesis, stress causes dendritic retraction on CA3 pyramidal neurons (Schoenfeld et al., 2017; reviewed in McEwen, 2018), one of the main targets of new granule neurons.
Transgenic reduction of adult neurogenesis also reduces dendritic complexity on CA3 pyramidal neurons (Schoenfeld et al., 2017). With regard to the function of new neurons, these data suggest that the complex effects of experience on brain function cannot be explained by merely knowing the numbers of new neurons, but instead must incorporate the effects of experience on the synaptic connectivity of new neurons.

Regulation of synaptic connectivity involves at least four main cellular or molecular components: the presynaptic neuron, postsynaptic neuron, glia, and the ECM, which together make up the "tetrapartite synapse" (Dityatev and Rusakov, 2011). It is likely that the functional influence new neurons have on the hippocampus arises from differences in their connectivity at the circuit level, as well as differences in the nonneuronal components that regulate their connections. Through their direct contact and/or secretion of factors, glia and the ECM participate in adult neurogenesis and the integration of new neurons into the hippocampal circuitry. At their presynaptic and postsynaptic sites, new granule cells interact with glial cells as well as with the ECM itself. Clearly, the relationship between adult neurogenesis and hippocampal function is complex, and understanding how these components function together at the tetrapartite synapse may elucidate mechanisms that best support new neuron integration into existing circuitry.

**Glia Regulate New Neuron Number and Connectivity**

Considerable evidence suggests that glia modulate adult neurogenesis in the hippocampus. Astrocytes within the adult hippocampus influence stem cell proliferation, their commitment to a neuronal phenotype, and their survival (Song et al., 2002), raising the possibility that there are distinct properties of astrocytes in the hippocampus that promote adult neurogenesis (Figure 2A). Mature astrocytes also aid in the differentiation and integration of adult-born neurons into the hippocampal circuitry. Blockade of vesicular release from astrocytes has been shown to impair new neuron survival and dendritic maturation by reducing dendritic branching and numbers of dendritic spines on new neurons (Sultan et al., 2015), suggesting that astrocytes are important regulators of adult neurogenesis at many stages in the process. Studies have shown that microglia have both beneficial and detrimental effects on adult neurogenesis in the hippocampus, depending on the circumstances. Microglia participate in stem cell proliferation and survival through their release of important trophic factors and anti-inflammatory cytokines (Battista et al., 2006; Borsini et al., 2015). Immune-deficient mice have impaired adult neurogenesis, even when housed in environmentally enriched conditions which typically increase stem cell proliferation, suggesting that immune molecules, potentially originating from microglia, are necessary for adult neurogenesis (Ziv et al., 2006). Microglia also participate in the adult neurogenesis process by engulfing stem or progenitor cells as well as immature neurons once they have died (Sierra et al., 2010; Figure 2A). In response to damage and disease, microglia transition to a proinflammatory state where they release cytokines, such as interleukin (IL)-1β, IL-6, and tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-α), which can impair new neuron production and cell survival (reviewed in Borsini et al., 2015; Figure 2A). One mechanism by which new neurons regulate the neurotoxic effects of microglia is through the binding of the neuronal chemokine fractalkine to its microglial receptor Cx3cr1 (Cardona et al., 2006). Genetic disruption of Cx3cr1 decreases the number of new neurons (Bachstetter et al., 2011) supporting the importance of microglia-neuron communication in regulating adult neurogenesis.

Glia are also involved in synapse formation and elimination during development and although their involvement in this process has been less thoroughly investigated in the adult, it seems likely that they continue to do so throughout life. In the developing brain, peak synaptogenesis coincides with the differentiation and maturation of astrocytes (reviewed in Chung et al., 2015). Astrocytes secrete several regulatory factors that affect presynaptic and postsynaptic maturation (Christopherson et al., 2005; Kucukdereli et al., 2011; Figure 2B). Microglia have also been shown to play a role in synapse formation and maintenance through their direct contact with synapses as well as from their secretion of growth factors and cytokines (Figure 2B). Time-lapse imaging of microglia has shown that microglial contacts with dendrites induce spine formation in hippocampal cultures (Weinhard et al., 2018), suggesting that microglia may aid in reorganization of postsynaptic sites to produce more efficient synapses. Addition of microglia to hippocampal cultures increases the number of both excitatory and inhibitory synapses through release of IL-10 (Lim et al., 2013). In addition to their stimulatory effects on synapses, microglia play an important role in synapse elimination by phagocytosis (Paolicelli et al., 2011; Schafer et al., 2012) after the recognition of immune complement proteins on weak synapses (Schafer et al., 2012; Figure 2B). Recent work has provided direct evidence for partial phagocytosis of presynaptic sites via time-lapse light sheet imaging of microglia-synaptic interactions in organotypic hippocampal cultures (Weinhard et al., 2018). Astrocytes also participate in circuit refinement by synaptic engulfment of both inhibitory and excitatory synapses through MEGF10 and MERTK pathways during development and in adulthood (Chung et al., 2013; Figure 2B). Astrocytic secretion of transforming growth factor β(TGF-β) regulates complement expression to initiate microglial-induced synaptic elimination (Bialas and Stevens, 2013).

Examination of afferent and efferent synapses of adult-born neurons has shown that astrocytic processes ensheath synapses from adult-born neurons (Krizsch et al., 2015), suggesting that astrocytes likely regulate synaptic signaling between new hippocampal neurons and their connections. In vivo imaging studies of astrocytes have shown that astrocytes are sensitive to changes in neural activity and respond with increases in intracellular calcium levels (Wang et al., 2006; Winship et al., 2007). Through their release of signaling molecules such as glutamate, ATP, d-serine, or GABA, as well as trophic factors, astrocytes influence synaptic activity at certain synapses, a process known as gliotransmission (reviewed in Harada et al., 2016; Parpura and Zorc, 2010; Figure 2B). Microglia also closely monitor neural activity and regulate synaptic plasticity. The highly motile processes of microglia are constantly surveying the brain (Nimmerjahn et al., 2005) and make frequent contacts with synapses (Tremblay et al., 2010). Similar to astrocytes, microglia express many neurotransmitter receptors such as glutamate and purinergic receptors that sense changes in neural activity (York et al., 2018). Microglia respond to increases in neural activity in the hippocampus by increasing their process numbers and prolonging their contact with synapses (Pfeiffer et al., 2016), and releasing
factors such as TNF-α or IL-1β, both of which influence synaptic plasticity (Prieto et al., 2019; Stellwagen and Malenka, 2006; Figure 2B). Available evidence indicates that astrocytes and microglia play a role in adult neurogenesis, but the extent to which these cells influence functional connectivity of new neurons remains incompletely explored.
Extracellular Matrix Regulation of New Neuron Number and Connectivity

Accumulating evidence suggests a role for the ECM in adult neurogenesis, as well as synaptogenesis and synaptic signaling. Approximately 20% of the brain’s volume is composed of ECM (Nicholson et al., 2011). ECM molecules are expressed in a diffuse manner throughout the brain in areas adjacent to and between synapses. The ECM aids in the communication between neurons and glial cells by incorporating their secreted signaling molecules into the extracellular space where they interact with other ECM molecules and/or cell surface receptors (Dityatev and Rusakov, 2011). In addition to the loose ECM molecules, the ECM can form distinct organized structures called perineuronal nets (PNNs). PNNs are lattice-like structures that form around the cell body and proximal dendrites of certain types of neurons (van’t Spijker and Kwok, 2017) and have been implicated in synaptic stabilization in the adult brain (Lensjo et al., 2017a).

Chondroitin sulfate proteoglycans, structural ECM components, are known to surround new neurons in the hippocampus, and recent work has shown that these molecules are important for the production and differentiation of new neurons in adulthood (Yamada et al., 2018). Depletion of chondroitin sulfate proteoglycans in the hippocampus decreases new neuron formation and dendritic tree maturation, and produces cognitive impairment (Figure 3A). Environmental enrichment-induced increases in adult neurogenesis are associated with increased synthesis of chondroitin sulfate proteoglycans, which is prevented by chondroitin sulfate proteoglycan synthesis disruption (Yamada et al., 2018). Chondroitin sulfate proteoglycans bind neurotrophic factors such as FGF-2 and BDNF (Karumbaiah

---

Figure 3. The Extracellular Matrix Influences Adult Neurogenesis and the Synaptic Connections Formed by New Neurons
(A) Extracellular matrix (ECM) molecules such as tenascins, reelin, and chondroitin sulfate proteoglycans promote adult neurogenesis.
(B) ECM molecules participate in the formation and stabilization of synapses, and through their interactions with cell surface receptors, influence synaptic activity by increasing neurotransmitter receptor activity, which increases intracellular calcium levels and enhances neural activity.
(C) Specialized ECM structures, perineuronal nets (PNNs), which enwrap the cell body and proximal dendrites of hippocampal inhibitory interneurons and CA2 pyramidal cells, may attract or repel mossy fibers from new neurons.
et al., 2015), which may facilitate the local potency of growth factors on adult-born neurons.

Reelin, an ECM glycoprotein secreted by GABAergic interneurons in the adult brain (Pesold et al., 1996), has been shown to play a critical role in neuronal migration and synaptic plasticity (reviewed in Lee and D'Arcangelo, 2016). In the adult mouse hippocampus, reelin deficiency inhibits neurogenesis (Won et al., 2006), while reelin overexpression stimulates neurogenesis (Pujadas et al., 2010; Figure 3A). Using hippocampal slice culture and live imaging from wild-type and reelin-deficient mice, reelin acts as an attractant to control the migration direction of new granule neurons toward the molecular layer (Wang et al., 2018).

Disruption of reelin signaling in hippocampal stem cells causes aberrant migration such that new granule neurons are scattered throughout the dentate gyrus area, where they form abnormal dendrites (Teixeira et al., 2012). In the developing and adult brain, evidence suggests that reelin is an important mediator of circuit formation by regulating dendritic tree maturation, spine formation and stability, glutamatergic neurotransmission, and synaptic plasticity (Pujadas et al., 2010; Figure 3B). Overexpression of reelin accelerates dendritic development of adult-born neurons and increases the number of synaptic contacts in the dentate gyrus (Pujadas et al., 2010; Teixeira et al., 2012), whereas the absence of reelin signaling reduces dendritic tree complexity on new granule neurons (Teixeira et al., 2012). The reelin pathway also participates in the integration of adult-born neurons into the hippocampal circuitry by regulating spine morphology, spine type, and the number of synaptic connections on new granule neurons as well as the degree of perisynaptic astroglial ensheathment of new granule neuron synapses (Bosch et al., 2016). Reelin is also known to enhance hippocampal synaptic plasticity (Pujadas et al., 2010; Weber et al., 2002) by altering the composition of NMDA receptor subunits and promoting surface clustering of AMPA receptors (Qiu et al., 2006; Figure 3B).

Active extracellular proteases, such as matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs), play key roles in driving plasticity in response to changes in neural activity by degrading components of the ECM (Ferrer-Ferrer and Dityatev, 2018). MMPs can modulate structural plasticity by loosening the ECM structure allowing for a more permissive environment. For instance, the ECM may spatially restrict microglial and astrocytic processes from invading the perisynaptic space, thus ECM remodeling may prompt invasion of glial cells, which in turn may regulate synaptic signaling. One of the most widely studied MMPs in the adult hippocampus, MMP9, is involved in hippocampal synaptic plasticity. Enhanced neural activity in the hippocampus increases the active form of MMP9 into the perisynaptic space (Bozdagi et al., 2016). MMP9, as well as the active form of MMP9 (Won et al., 2006), which may facilitate the local potency of growth factors on adult-born neurons.

In neural stem cultures, tenasin-R has been shown to modulate proliferation and differentiation of neural stem cells through interactions with the cell-surface receptor α1 integrin (Liao et al., 2008). In the developing and adult hippocampus, tenasin-R deficiency reduces the number of proliferating stem cells, while increasing the number of cells committed to a neuronal phenotype (Xu et al., 2014). Several studies have shown that tenasin-R knockout mice have altered synaptic plasticity in the hippocampus, including impaired LTP and increased basal excitatory transmission (Bukalo et al., 2001; Gurevicius et al., 2004; Saghatelian et al., 2001). Studies suggest that tenascins are a critical component of PNNs (Suttkus et al., 2014), which surround many of the neurons that are postsynaptic to adult-generated granule cells.

**Potential Role for Perineuronal Nets in New Neuron Connectivity**

PNNs are specialized extracellular structures composed of tenasin-R, hyaluronan, chondroitin sulfate proteoglycans, and link proteins (Figure 3C), which play important roles in brain plasticity during development and in adulthood (Sorg et al., 2016). In the hippocampus, PNNs primarily surround inhibitory interneurons, many of which are fast-spiking PV+ interneurons (Lensoje et al., 2017b; Yamada et al., 2015). In the CA2 subregion, however, PNNs also enwrap excitatory pyramidal cells (Carstens et al., 2016). In general, PNNs restrain plasticity and promote synaptic stabilization in the adult brain. The maturation of PNNs in the developing cortex visual coincides with the closure of the critical periods of synaptogenesis and circuit refinement (Beuredeley et al., 2012; Ye and Miao, 2013). Accumulating evidence suggests that PNN formation is driven by neuronal activity (Dityatev et al., 2007) and one possibility is that changes in the number of new neurons, which alter the overall excitability of the hippocampus (Ikrar et al., 2013), may then regulate the expression of PNNs at postsynaptic sites. In addition to glutamatergic input, new granule neurons also receive local inhibitory input (Espósito et al., 2005; Ge et al., 2006), and inhibitory control of new neurons may be indirectly altered through the expression of PNNs on PV+ interneurons. Because activation of PV+ interneurons has been shown to promote new neuron cell survival while suppression of PV+ interneuron activity reduces new neuron cell survival (Song et al., 2013), changes in PNNs surrounding these cells may have an important influence on adult neurogenesis. However, despite their compelling association with cells in the adult neurogenesis hippocampal circuitry, as well as their identified functional roles in other neural systems, PNNs have been relatively uninvestigated in the context of adult neurogenesis.

**Proposed Models and Remaining Questions**

It has become increasingly clear that the connectivity of new neurons in the adult hippocampus is highly relevant to their functional influence. All components of the synapse, including glia, the ECM, and the presynaptic and postsynaptic neurons should be considered in an effort to elucidate the diverse effects new neurons have on brain function. In addition to adult-generated neurons themselves, associated glial cells and ECM are differentially sensitive to experience and pathological conditions (reviewed in Ruddy and Morshead, 2018). Glial cells play important roles in the production and elimination of synaptic
A Running-induced optimal function

- More new granule neurons
- Increased dendritic complexity
- Larger astrocyte
- Reduced PNNs around inhibitory interneuron
- Healthy microglia
- Reduced PNNs around CA2 pyramidal neuron

B Stress-induced suboptimal function

- Fewer new granule neurons
- Reduced dendritic complexity
- Smaller astrocyte
- Increased PNNs around inhibitory interneuron
- Reactive microglia
- Increased PNNs around CA2 pyramidal neuron

C Seizure-induced pathological function

- More new granule neurons
- Aberrant dendrites
- Reactive astrocyte
- Reactive microglia
- Reduced PNNs around inhibitory interneuron
- Reduced PNNs around CA2 pyramidal neuron

(legend on next page)
connections, while PNNs may attract or repel axons of new neurons. Experience-dependent or pathological disruptions in glial cells or PNNs most likely produce abnormal connectivity of new neurons and therefore impaired hippocampal function.

Many studies have shown that glial cells as well as PNNs are influenced by experience. Physical exercise, which increases new neuron production and improves behaviors associated with the hippocampus, also stimulates astrocyte growth (Brockett et al., 2015; Fahimi et al., 2017) and reduces microglial reactivity (Kohman et al., 2012, 2013). Rats given access to running wheels also have decreased PNN expression in the hippocampus (Smith et al., 2015b). Stress, on the other hand, which diminishes adult neurogenesis and impairs hippocampal function, has been shown to reduce the size and number of astrocytes (Czež et al., 2006; Tynan et al., 2013) as well as to trigger microglial reactivity (Kreisel et al., 2014; Llorens-Martín et al., 2016). Early life stress in mice has been shown to increase PNNs surrounding PV⁺ inhibitory interneurons in the hippocampus (Murthy et al., 2019). Because physical exercise and stress have for the most part opposite effects on adult neurogenesis, synaptic connectivity, and behavior, it is perhaps not surprising that these experiences also have different effects on glia and PNN structures.

For example, under optimal function conditions as observed with physical exercise, healthy glial cells and reduced PNNs may enhance new neuron connections with their targets cells (Figure 4A), while under suboptimal function conditions as observed with stress, changes in glial cells along with increased PNNs may prevent these connections from forming appropriately with their target cells (Figure 4B). Examination of disease models adds further complexity about how alterations in glia, ECM, and specifically PNNs, lead to dysfunctional circuits associated with adult neurogenesis. For example, seizures stimulate adult neurogenesis and impair hippocampal function. Seizure-induced reactive astrocytes (Shapiro et al., 2008; Yang et al., 2010), reactive microglia (Shapiro et al., 2008; Yang et al., 2010), and reduced presence of PNNs (McRae and Porter, 2012; Rankin-Gee et al., 2015) around post-synaptic neurons of adult-generated cells likely contribute to the functional impairments. The combination of increased adult neurogenesis along with glial reactivity and reduced PNNs may set the stage for improper new neuron connections with their targets and ultimately, impaired hippocampal function (Figure 4C).

Clearly, additional research is needed to understand how adult-generated neurons in the hippocampus participate in optimal, suboptimal, and pathological functional outcomes and considering their connections in the tetrapartite synapse is likely a step in the right direction.
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